

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
09/673,411	10/16/2000	Norberto Festo	B-3992PCT618	B-3992PCT618 7180		
75	7590 04/06/2005		EXAMINER			
Richard P Berg			OH, TAY	OH, TAYLOR V		
Ladas & Parry Suite 2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
5670 Wilshire Boulevard			1625	1625		
Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/673,41	1	FESTO, NORBER	то		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Taylor Vict		1625			
The MA	LING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON' - If the period for rep - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REDATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CF CFHS from the mailing date of this communication by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, by is specified above, the maximum statutory print the set or extended period for reply will, by so by the Office later than three months after the readjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days l expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.		
Status					•		
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on (05 January 2005	5 .				
		This action is no					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Cla	ims						
4a) Of the 5)⊠ Claim(s) 6)⊠ Claim(s) 7)⊠ Claim(s)	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,9,13-15,18-43 and 58</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) <u>31-38,41,42 and 58</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>22 and 24</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1, 3-4, 9, 13-15, 18-21, 23, 25-30, 39, 40, and 43</u> is/are objected to.						
Application Paper	s						
10)⊡ The drawi Applicant	fication is objected to by the Exaring(s) filed on is/are: a) may not request that any objection to	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 (J.S.C. § 119						
a)⊠ All b) 1.□ Ce 2.□ Ce 3.⊠ Co ap	dgment is made of a claim for form Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority documptified copies of the priority documpies of the certified copies of the plication from the International Burached detailed Office action for a	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications onts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of Referen 2) Notice of Draftspe	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	B/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	9-152)		

Final Rejection

The Status of Claims:

Claims 1, 3-4,9,13-15,18-43, and 58 are pending.

Claims 22, and 24 have been rejected.

Claims 1, 3-4, 9,13-15, 18-21, 23, 25-30, 39, 40, and 43 have been objected.

Claims 31-38, 41-42, and 58 are allowable.

Claim Objections

In claim 1, the phrase "apart from" is recited. There is no gap between the word "a" and the word "part". Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 9, it depends on claim 13, This is improper because claim 9 should be dependent on the claim prior to claim 9. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 43, the phrase "wherein is 0.01 % to 10 % by weight of the pharmaceutical composition" is recited. There is no subject in the sentence. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Claims 3-4, 13-15, 18-21, 23, 25-30, 39, and 40 have been objected due to the objected claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Applicants' argument filed 9/27/04 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The rejection of Claims 14, 29-30, 33, 36, and 39-57 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been withdrawn due to the modification made in the amendment.

However, there are still some issues to be resolved with claims 22 and 24.

In claim 22, the phrase "an antineoplastic adjunct <u>including</u> folic replenishers" is recited. This is vague and indefinite because the word "<u>including</u>" would mean that there are some additional components besides folic replenishers. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

In claim 24, the phrase "the active ingredient <u>comprises</u>" is recited. This is vague and indefinite because the word "<u>comprises</u>" would mean that there are some additional components besides the active ingredient. Therefore, an appropriate correction is required.

Applicants argue the following issues:

a. Since claims 14, 22, 24, 25-26, 29-30, 33, 36, 39-43, and 58 have been amended, and claims 44-57 have been deleted in response to the Office Action, the application is now in condition for allowance.

Applicants' arguments have been noted, but the arguments are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1625

First, regarding the argument, the Examiner has noted applicants' arguments. However, there are still some issues to be resolved with the objection of claims 1, 9, and 43 and the rejected claims 22 and 24. Until the issues are resolved, the allowance of the application will be delayed.

Page 4

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/673,411

Art Unit: 1625

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taylor Victor Oh whose telephone number is 571-272-0689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

*** my hovoh

Cecilia J. Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600